Project #: 126

COLORADO RIVER RECOVERY PROGRAM FY-2006-2007 PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK for:

(Colorado River smallmouth bass removal)

Lead Agency: Fish and Wildlife Service

Colorado River Fishery Project

Submitted by: Bob D. Burdick, Fishery Biologist (LEAD)

Chuck McAda, Project Leader

Address: 764 Horizon Drive, Building B

Grand Junction, CO 81506-3946

Phone: (970) 245–9319 FAX: (970 245–6933

E-mail: Bob Burdick@FWS.GOV

Chuck McAda@FWS.GOV

Date: 21 April 2005; revised 17 June 2005; revised 3 January 2006; 2/24/06 by Pat Nelson

<u>Category:</u> <u>Expected Funding Source:</u>

 $_$ Ongoing project XX Annual funds X Ongoing-revised project X Capital funds X Requested new project Y Capital funds Y Other (explain)

_ Unsolicited proposal

- I. Title of Proposal: Removal of Smallmouth Bass in the Upper Colorado River between Price-Stubb Dam near Palisade, Colorado, and Westwater, Utah.
- II. Relationship to RIPRAP:

Colorado River Action Plan: Mainstem

- III. Reduce negative impacts of nonnative fishes and sportfish management activities.
- III.A. Develop and implement control programs in reaches of the Colorado River occupied by endangered fishes.
- III. Study Background/Rationale and Hypotheses:

General

Significant anthropogenic changes to the physical riverine habitat have undoubtedly played an important role in the decline and endangered status of Colorado pikeminnow, humpback chub, bonytail, and razorback sucker, but changes in the biological environment may also have been equally significant. Physical changes in the riverine habitat have been accompanied by the introduction, establishment, and proliferation of nonnative fishes, and concomitant declines in native fishes in the Upper Colorado River

basin. The role of nonnative fishes is often identified, in association with habitat changes, as a major obstacle to conservation of native fish communities.

At least 67 nonnative fishes have been introduced actively or passively into the Colorado River system during the last 100 years (Minckley 1982; Tyus et al. 1982; Carlson and Muth 1989; Minckley and Deacon 1991; Maddux et al. 1993). By 1980, more than 50 nonnative fishes had been actively introduced into rivers and reservoirs of the Colorado River basin (Minckley 1982; Tyus et al. 1982; Carlson and Muth 1989). Native big river fishes have disappeared from about three-fourths of their original habitat while introduced fishes have become more widespread and abundant. Former studies have also documented a decline in the abundance of native fish species as nonnative species increased in abundance (Joseph et al. 1977; Behnke 1980; Osmundson and Kaeding 1989; Quarterone 1993).

Many of the nonnative fishes introduced into the Colorado River basin are suspected of adversely affecting the native mainstem fishes in some fashion. Warmwater gamefish are thought to have the greatest adverse effect on endangered native fishes. Centrarchids (e. g., largemouth bass, green sunfish, bluegill, black crappie, and smallmouth bass), ictalurids (e. g., channel catfish and black bullhead), and esocids (northern pike) are frequently listed as contributors to the decline of native fishes. An increasing body of evidence characterizes the negative interactions of nonnative fishes with the endangered big river fishes (Hawkins and Nesler 1991; Minckley et al. 1991; Maddux et al. 1993; Lentsch et al. 1996). Some of this evidence is indirect, including inferences from field data or results from laboratory studies of predation by nonnatives on natives. Laboratory studies have documented agonistic behavior, resource sharing, and vulnerability to predation (Papoulias and Minckley 1990; Karp and Tyus 1990; Ruppert et al. 1993; Johnson et al. 1993). Direct evidence of predation includes native fishes obtained from stomach contents of nonnative fishes and by visual observation of predation. Other means by which nonnative fishes may adversely affect native fishes are by competition for food, which limits the success of razorback sucker (Papoulias and Minckley 1990). The extent of predation pressure by some nonnative fishes on populations of native fishes is not exactly known. Tyus and Saunders (1996) went on to conclude that smallmouth bass along with channel catfish and northern pike were the main threat to juvenile Colorado pikeminnow and razorback sucker.

Smallmouth Bass

Until 2003, smallmouth bass were only reported as incidental, rare captures in the Upper Colorado River from Price Stubb Dam (river mile 188.3) to the Colorado/Green River confluence. However, Fish and Wildlife sampling crews involved with the channel catfish removal evaluation recorded and documented the capture of 318 smallmouth bass in main channel riverine habitats in a 39-mile reach of the Upper Colorado River from the Gunnison/Colorado River confluence to the Utah/Colorado stateline (Burdick

2003(a)). Catch rates (fish/hour and fish/mile) steadily increased throughout the 4-month sampling period (30 June to 31 October)(Table 1). The source(s) of these smallmouth bass is unknown.

Table 1. Number, catch effort (fish/hr and fish/mile) for largemouth bass and smallmouth bass collected from main channel habitats in the Upper Colorado River with electrofishing from river mile 171.0 to 132.0 (Colorado/Gunnison River confluence to the Utah/Colorado stateline), 30 June to 31 October 2003. Note: data for the Upper Reach (Colorado/Gunnison River confluence to the Loma Boat Landing) and Lower Reach (Loma Boat Landing to the Colorado/Utah stateline) were combined. Total effort (hrs) = 132.82; total miles sampled = 293.

Largemouth Bass	Smallmouth Bass
-	

	1	_argemouth B	ass	S1	nallmouth Bas	SS
	<u>No.</u>	Fish/hr	Fish/Mile	<u>No.</u>	Fish/hr	Fish/Mile
Pass 1	8	0.27	0.11	39	1.34	0.53
Pass 2	13	0.42	0.17	41	1.31	0.54
Pass 3	6	0.06	0.14	33	1.94	0.76
Pass 4	41	1.43	0.70	96	3.35	1.63
Pass 5	46	1.72	1.07	109	4.07	2.54
Totals	114	0.86	0.39	318	2.39	1.09

Upper Colorado River (Colorado)

In the Upper Colorado River between Price-Stubb Dam (river mile [RM] 188.3) and the head of Westwater Canyon, (RM 125), abundance and distribution information for smallmouth bass is limited. However, the recent apparent increase in the numbers of smallmouth bass as reported during the channel catfish removal evaluation has biologists and managers concerned that smallmouth bass abundance may increase quickly, and further impact recovery of native endangered fishes. Smallmouth bass have the potential to predate or compete with different life stages of the four native endangered fishes.

Price-Stubb Dam presently acts as an effective upstream movement barrier for all fishes. Smallmouth bass are located in Rifle Gap Reservoir and adult smallmouth bass have been reported in the Colorado River between Rifle and Price-Stubb Dam (Anderson 1997). No "naturally occurring" Colorado pikeminnow have been reported upstream of Price-Stubb Dam during fishery investigations over the past 23 years (Valdez et al. 1982; Wydoski 1994; Anderson 1997). The last wild razorback sucker captured upstream of Price-Stubb Dam was at RMs 205, 220.7, and 223.7 in 1980 and 1981 (Valdez et al. 1982). However, both wild and domestic-reared Colorado pikeminnow along with domestic-reared razorback sucker have been stocked in the Upper Colorado River upstream of Price-Stubb Dam between 1999 and 2001 (Burdick 2002).

Adult Colorado pikeminnow have been captured in the reach between Grand Valley Irrigation Diversion Dam (RM 185.3) and Price-Stubb Dam. Burdick (1999) collected 10 adult Colorado pikeminnow between 19 August and 24 September during 1998. Eight adult pikeminnow were collected between 29 April and 22 June 1999 and seven were collected in 2000 between 27 April and 19 May (personal communication, Douglas B. Osmundson). One radio-tagged Colorado pikeminnow was detected at the base of Price-Stubb Dam between mid-July and late-September in 1986 and 1987 (Osmundson and Kaeding 1989). Adult Colorado pikeminnow presently occupy the 15- and 18-mile reaches of the Colorado River in the Grand Valley and reaches downstream. Some of the last wild razorback sucker were captured in the 15-mile reach. Domestic-reared razorback sucker stocked near Parachute have been found in backwaters in the 15- and 18-mile reaches (Burdick 2002).

Lower Gunnison River

In the fish trap of the Redlands Dam fish passageway in the Lower Gunnison River, the number of smallmouth bass have recently increased (19 fish in 2002 and 2003)(Burdick 2003(a)) over previous years of monitoring (1996–2001: 1 fish)(Burdick 2001). Nine smallmouth bass were collected in the fish trap at Redlands during 2004 (Burdick 2004a) and 21 during 2005 (Burdick 2005a). About 1,800 fingerling smallmouth bass were stocked by the Colorado Division of Wildlife (CDOW) in 1973 in the Gunnison River near Delta (Wiltzius 1978) upstream from Redlands Diversion Dam. None of these stocked smallmouth bass have been subsequently captured upstream from the diversion dam (Wiltzius 1978, Valdez et al. 1982; Burdick 1995). Redlands Dam (RM 3.0) provides an effective barrier to smallmouth bass and all other fish attempting to move further upstream in the Gunnison River.

Control of Nonnative Fish by Mechanical Removal

Control of smallmouth bass and other nonnative fish species is a primary emphasis, along with habitat restoration, propagation and stocking, and instream flow management within the Recovery Program for the four endangered fish species. In the strategic plan for the control of nonnative fishes in the Upper Colorado River Basin (Tyus and Saunders 1996), "control" was defined as "reducing the numbers of one of more nonnative species to levels below which they are no longer an impediment to the recovery of endangered fish species." The goal for nonnative fish control or management in the Upper Colorado River Basin is to reduce the adverse impacts of nonnative fishes on the endangered fishes which will hopefully increase the distribution and abundance of the endangered fishes and contribute to their recovery. It is not likely that nonnative fishes that have become established in the Upper Colorado River Basin can be eliminated. However, preventive measures and active control programs could be implemented to reduce the abundance of nonnative fishes in riverine and adjacent floodplain habitats. Consequently, then,

reducing the abundance of some problematic, nonnative fishes would reduce the potential for predation and competition on native listed and non-listed fishes. Management to promote recovery of listed fish species may have to include long-term or periodic suppression of some problematic nonnatives, such as mechanical removal, that minimizes impacts to remaining native fishes.

2004 Study Results

Total number of fish collected with boat and raft-based electrofishing by species during the 2004 smallmouth bass removal were, smallmouth bass: 1,165; largemouth bass: 277; black crappie/green sunfish/bluegill in the aggregate: 761 (Burdick 2004b).

There did not appear to be an obvious reduction in the abundance using catch effort indices (fish/hour and fish/mile) for smallmouth bass, largemouth bass, or the three other centrarchid fishes (black crappie, bluegill, and green sunfish) during the 2-month removal period in 2004. Overall mean catch effort for all these fishes actually increased with each subsequent pass in 2004. Therefore, during the summer of 2004, we did not show a depletion for either smallmouth bass or largemouth bass in main channel habitats of the Colorado River in western Colorado and eastern Utah and the Lower Gunnison River in western Colorado.

We also concluded that there was no statistically significant (\approx =.05) decline in the size (i.e., mean total length) of smallmouth bass over the four passes during the summer of 2004. Also, it was apparent from collections, that in some river segments (18-mile reach [Colorado/Gunnison River confluence to the Loma Boat Landing]), smallmouth bass reproduced during 2004.

The number of smallmouth bass recently collected as compared to those collected during investigations from previous years now leads researchers to believe that this species is quickly emerging as an abundant nonnative fish in the Grand Valley and Ruby and Horsethief canyons in the Upper Colorado River. And apparently, according to catch effort analyses between 2003 and 2004, smallmouth bass abundance is still increasing in the Grand Valley and Ruby and Horsethief canyons of the Upper Colorado River. It also appears that the abundance of smallmouth bass and largemouth bass collected with electrofishing in main channel habitats during the summer of 2004 was considerably greater than the number collected during the channel catfish removal evaluation that was conducted in the summer of 2003 and during the population monitoring for Colorado pikeminnow performed in the spring of 2004.

2005 Study Results

Total number of fish collected with boat and raft-based electrofishing by species during the 2005 smallmouth bass removal from Price Stubb Dam to the Westwater,

UT, ranger station and the Lower Gunnison River was, smallmouth bass: 1,366; largemouth bass: 589; black crappie: 41; green sunfish: 643; bluegill: 316. Abundance for all five centrarchid fishes during 2005 increased from 2004. Abundance of smallmouth bass increased 11 fold from 21 to 230 fish from Rifle to Beavertail Mountain (Burdick 2005b).

There did not appear to be an obvious reduction in abundance using catch effort indices (fish/hour and fish/mile) for smallmouth bass, largemouth bass, or the three other centrarchid fishes (black crappie, bluegill, and green sunfish) during the 2-month removal period in 2005. Therefore, during the summer of 2005, as was the case during the summer of 2004, we did not show a depletion for either smallmouth bass or largemouth bass in main channel habitats of the Colorado River in western Colorado and eastern Utah and the Lower Gunnison River in western Colorado. Overall mean catch effort for smallmouth bass increased in 2005 from 2004 (6.91 to 7.38); largemouth bass increased from 1.64 to 3.37; and black crappie/bluegill/green sunfish aggregate catch/effort increased from 4.51 to 8.07.

We also concluded that there was no statistically significant (\approx =.05) decline in the size (i.e., mean total length) of smallmouth bass between 2004 and 2005 in five river reaches of the Upper Colorado and Lower Gunnison rivers. Also, it was apparent from collections, that in some river segments (18-mile reach [Colorado/Gunnison River confluence to the Loma Boat Landing]), smallmouth bass reproduced during 2005 as they did during 2004.

The number of smallmouth bass recorded during 2004 and 2005 compared to those collected during investigations from previous years indicates that not only has this species emerged as an abundant nonnative fish but is proliferating in some river segments of the Grand Valley, Ruby and Horsethief canyons, and between Rifle and Rulison in the Upper Colorado River. And apparently, according to catch effort analyses from the summer of 2003, 2004, and 2005, smallmouth bass abundance is still increasing in the Grand Valley and Ruby and Horsethief canyons of the Upper Colorado River.

IV. Study Goals, Objectives, End Product:

Study Goals/Objectives

The purpose of this proposed study is to remove as many smallmouth bass of all sizes in main channel riverine habitats in a 61-mile reach of the Upper Colorado River between Price-Stubb Dam and Westwater boat landing in eastern Utah. The goal is to reduce the abundance of smallmouth bass as quickly as possible in this reach which will ultimately benefit native listed fishes, and possibly contribute to their recovery. The study objective is to:

- 1. remove all sizes of smallmouth bass in the Upper Colorado River by boat and raft-based electrofishing.
- 2. obtain an abundance estimate during 2006 by mark and recapture methods for the Upper Colorado River between Price Stubb Dam and Fruita State Park (NEW for 2006).

FINAL PRODUCT: Draft Synthesis Report to coordinator: 3/15/2007

Draft Report to peer reviewers/BC: 4/15/2007 Revised Report for BC consideration: 7/01/2007

V. Study Area: sixty-one miles of the Upper Colorado River: Price-Stubb Dam downstream to Westwater boat landing (RM 188.3 – 127.6) & the Lower Gunnison River (RM 3.0 – 0.7)

Rifle Bridge to Beavertail Mountain (RM 240.4 – 195.7) [added in 2004]

VI. Study Methods/Approach:

General

December 2003. One of the conclusions agreed upon by participants at the December 2003 Nonnative Fish Control Workshop in Grand Junction was that smallmouth bass posed a greater threat to native fishes than other nonnative game fishes (e.g., channel catfish). Northern pike was viewed as the present number one threat to native fishes. At this workshop, the priority was established to start removing smallmouth bass from the Upper Colorado River in western Colorado as soon as possible to head off a possible increase in the abundance of this species. Recovery of listed native fishes might be more attainable if the threats (i.e., predation and competition) posed by certain nonnative fishes, such as smallmouth bass, could be minimized or eliminated.

FY2006 Study Modifications. The decision was made during the December 14, 2005, Biology Committee to obtain an abundance estimate for smallmouth bass in concentration areas of the Upper Colorado River from Price Stubb Dam to Westwater, Utah. In essence this endeavor will entail marking and releasing smallmouth bass during an initial pass starting in the summer of 2006, and lethally removing and recording previously marked smallmouth bass in all subsequent passes. Marking smallmouth bass will include the river segments from Price Stubb Dam to Fruita State Park in the Upper Colorado River and a 2.3-mile segment of the Lower Gunnison River. These river segments have been identified as moderate to high concentrations areas for smallmouth bass based on capture data from the summers of 2004 and 2005. An additional first pass will be added to accomplish the marking. Four successive passes will be performed during 2006 in the concentration areas to lethally remove smallmouth bass as was conducted in both 2004 and 2005.

The budget will need to be increased to account for the additional manpower and other resources necessary to accomplish the objective of marking smallmouth bass during the initial pass in 2006. It is estimated that about 7 additional days (1.4 weeks) entailing about 35-person days will be required for this additional initial pass to mark fish. Some marking equipment will also be required.

The number of removal passes for areas of low densities of smallmouth bass as determined from 2004 and 2005 capture data will be reduced during 2006. These river segments include the canyon-bound reaches of Ruby and Horsethief canyons to Westwater, Utah (RM 152.6 – 127.6). The reduced effort in these reaches will be re-directed to increase the number of removal passes in river segments where smallmouth bass have proliferated over the past two years. One such river reach is the 45-mile reach of the Upper Colorado River from the Rifle Bridge to Beavertail Mountain and, in particular, the 10 mile river segment from Rifle to Rulison. In 2005, the number of smallmouth bass collected in these reaches increased 11 fold and catch effort increased about 5.5 times. We believe that re-focusing this removal effort will result in a zero net budget change.

Specific

This is a 5-year study with 4 years dedicated to field work and 1 year for writeup of the field data. To date, sampling efforts have focused on a reach and not river-wide scale. For logistical considerations, the entire 61-mile section of the Upper Colorado River from Price-Stubb Dam to the Westwater, Utah, was divided into three different subreaches based on hydro-geomorphic features.

Three general sub-reaches were sampled in 2004 and 2005. These included, 1) a 3-mile section between Price-Stubb and Grand Valley Irrigation dams and the 15-mile section that extends from Palisade to the Gunnison/Colorado River confluence (RMs 185.5–171), 2) the 18-mile reach that extends from the confluence of the Gunnison and Colorado rivers to the Loma Boat Landing (RMs 171.0–152.6), and 3) Ruby and Horsethief canyons (RMs 152.6–127.6) which extends from the Loma Boat Landing to the Westwater, Utah. The 15- and 18-mile sub-reaches flow through a wide alluvial section of the lower Grand Valley; the canyon-bound sub-reach is considered a quasialluvial sub-reach. The number of sampling occasions (i.e., passes) in the 15-mile reach may be affected by the availability of sufficient water for sampling craft to operate due to extended drought conditions. Sampling the 3-mile section between Price-Stubb and Grand Valley Irrigation dams may be reduced due to poor access and low-water conditions in mid- to late-summer.

A 45-mile reach of the Upper Colorado River from the Rifle Bridge (river mile 240.7) to Beavertail Mountain in Debeque Canyon (river mile 195.7) was sampled with raft electrofishing between August 23–26, 2004 **and during mid-July 2005**. This river reach was outside the original defined removal area. However, there were unsubstantiated

reports that anglers had encountered smallmouth bass in these upstream reaches, and it was determined that a "reconnaissance" sampling trip was warranted to confirm or refute these claims. One pass of this 45-mile reach was accomplished during 2004 and 2005.

Each sub-reach between Price-Stubb Dam and Westwater, Utah, during 2004 and 2005 was sampled at least three times with electrofishing. Some sub-reaches where high concentrations of smallmouth bass were collected during the first three passes were sampled a fourth time. The study utilized jon boat and raft-based electrofishing to remove smallmouth bass. Each electrofishing craft was equipped with a Smith-Root (Model GPP 5.0) electrofishing unit. Actual time spent electrofishing (actual circuit time) was also recorded. Main channel habitats sampled included mostly shorelines and backwaters. Two electrofishing craft were used concurrently to collect fish. All smallmouth bass collected were removed and sacrificed. All smallmouth bass collected were provided to Pat Martinez of the Colorado Division of Wildlife for use in the provenance (stable-isotope) study. Samples were preserved according to criteria provided by CDOW.

All other centrarchid fishes collected (e.g., largemouth bass, green sunfish, bluegill, and black crappie) were also sacrificed and preserved for the provenance study. Other introduced game species (e.g. walleye and northern pike) inadvertently collected were sacrificed and provided to the CDOW. Additionally, Pat Martinez requested that up to 100 channel catfish be sacrificed for another CDOW study. FWS crews were able to provide Pat Martinez about 110 channel catfish requested during the summer of 2005.

All juvenile and adult endangered fish collected were checked for a PIT tag, weighed, measured, and immediately returned to the river.

The same river reaches were sampled in 2004 and 2005. Aluminum boat and raft electrofishing will again be used to collect fish during 2006. During 2006, the protocol will be modified to include an additional initial pass to mark and release all smallmouth bass collected in the moderate to high concentration areas of the Upper Colorado River from Price Stubb Dam to Fruita State Park and the lower 2.3 miles of the Gunnison River. During all subsequent passes the protocol will be the same as in 2004 and 2005: all smallmouth bass and all other centrarchids and nonnative game fish will be lethally removed and provided to the CDOW. Captures of smallmouth bass marked during an earlier pass will be recorded for determining an abundance estimate. In 2006 field data will again be recorded from captured endangered fishes.

Marking--2006

Smallmouth bass collected during the first pass will be marked by two different methods. First, each smallmouth bass greater than about 200 mm total length will

be inserted with a Floy® serially numbered external t-bar anchor tag (model FD-68B). All smallmouth bass greater than 75 mm will also be fin clipped (pelvic fin). The fin clip will serve as a redundant mark in the event that the t-bar anchor tag is lost.

From our experience with collecting, handling, and transporting both smallmouth bass and largemouth bass during the summer of 2003 during the channel catfish evaluation study, it was apparent that both bass species were not hardy following capture, despite efforts to provide oxygen and salt to the holding and transport water. It was estimated that about 3 of 4 bass died during transit from the Colorado River to their destination stocking site, Highline Lake near Loma, Colorado. Moreover, it could not be determined what the post stocking mortality rate was. Given that smallmouth bass post capture, marking, and handling mortality could be high during the summer months when water temperatures may be near 20 C, every measure will be taken to minimize this mortality during the first pass in which all smallmouth bass will be returned to the river. Fish will be provided oxygen, and salt and stress coat will be administered to the holding tanks. A high post handling and marking mortality would reduce the number of smallmouth bass that potentially would be recaptured during subsequent passes, which ultimately could influence the precision of the abundance estimate.

Data Analysis

All smallmouth bass captured within each of the sub-reaches were enumerated in 2004 and 2005. Total numbers of smallmouth bass and largemouth bass collected and catch per unit of effort were also determined for each sub-reach per sampling pass for each of these two years. Length data were recorded for 2004 and 2005 to determine the size structure of smallmouth bass removed This protocol will continue during 2006.

Data analyses similar to that employed for the 2004 field results were used to analyze the 2005 data, too. During 2006, mark-recapture data will be subjected to various appropriate abundance estimators to obtain a population estimate for smallmouth bass from Price Stubb Dam, the 15-mile reach, and most of the 18-mile reach. Centrarchid fishes captured during the 2006 field work will also be analyzed similar to the data analyzed for 2004 and 2005 for among year comparison.

The final report will summarize the numbers of smallmouth bass captured by sub-reach, catch rates, and determine any changes in the mean individual size of smallmouth bass. Hopefully, a population estimate can be generated from a mark and recapture study to be conducted in the summer of 2006.

VII. Task Description and Schedule

Description

Task 1. Remove all sizes of smallmouth bass.

Sub-task 1a. Mark and release smallmouth bass during pass 1 in 2006

Task 2. a) analyze data; b) prepare annual RIP reports.

Task 3. Write a) draft and b) final synthesis report.

Schedule

Task 1. 7/2005 - 10/2005; 7/2006 - 10/2006; 7/2007 - 10/2007 **Sub-task 1a.** 7/2006

Task 2. 11/2006; 11/2007

Task 3. a) 11/2006 - 1/2007; b) 1/2007 - 6/2007

VIII. FY-2006 Work (third-year of multi-year study)

<u>Deliverables/Due Dates</u>:

Annual Report due 11/2006

<u>Budget</u> (actual salary rates w/ benefits provided by CRFP Administrative Officer used for labor; 3% inflation rate applied from FY-2005 for travel, equipment, and vehicles)

Task 1

1.	Labor (salary and benefits)
	Project Leader (1-GS-14 @ 2,035)
	Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS-12 @ 1,846)
	0 1 7 1 1 1

2 weeks \$ 4,070 14 weeks \$ 25,844

Seasonal Technicians GS-5/6 @ 658 (3)

Administrative Asst. (1-GS-9 @ 1,332)

12 weeks \$ 23,688 3 weeks \$ 3.996

\$\frac{\\$ 3,996}{\\$ Subtotal \\$ 57,598

2. Travel (per diem only)(field) (RP meetings/workshops)

1 week

\$ 572 \$ 1,141

\$ 1,730

Subtotal \$ 1,713

3. Equipment

Gasoline, 2-cycle outboard oil for outboards; props, jet-pump impellers, liners, parts

Colorado River Smallmouth Bass-Page 11

Maintenance (Boat Motors, Generators, GPPs, aluminum boat repair)		•	3,410
Office (paper, telephones, postage, office supplies,		Ψ	3,710
computer software/support, misc.)		\$	1,298
Dip nets; stainless steel spheres, cable, hardware		\$,
Generator, Kohler GPP Portable (plus shipping)	,	\$ \$	
Generator, ixomer GII Tortable (plus simpping)			5 15,253
4. Vehicles (GSA-leased, FWS-owned: gas/tires/maintena	ance)		
Fish Collections			5,410
	Su	btotal \$	5,410
Task 1	Su	btotal \$	79,974
NEW! Task 1a. (7 days: ~35-person days; includes on river to capture and marking, shuttles)	ime for		
1. Labor (salary and benefits)			
Project Leader (1-GS-14 @ 2,035)	1.4 wee	eks \$	N/C
Project Fishery Biologist (2-GS-12 @ 1,846)	1.4 wee		5,169
Biological Technician (1-GS-7 @ \$ 1,030)	1.4 wee		
Seasonal Technicians			,
GS-5/6 @ 658 (3)	1.4 wee	eks \$	2,764
Administrative Asst. (1-GS-9 @ 1,332)	0.5 wee	ek <u>\$</u>	666
	Su	btotal \$	10,041
2. Travel (per diem only)(field)	1 week	\$	N/C
		btotal \$	
3. Equipment			
Gasoline, 2-cycle outboard oil for outboards; pro	ops, jet-	pump	
impellers, liners, parts		\$	100
Maintenance (Boat Motors, Generators, GPPs,			
aluminum boat repair)		\$	200
Office (paper, telephones, postage, office supplie	s,		
computer software/support, misc.)		\$	50
Marking Equipment			
Floy® Anchor Tags (\$ 560); H.D. stainless steel			
needles (\$ 96); Mark II tagging guns (\$ 330);			
Fiskars scissors (\$125.00)		\$,
Oxygen, tubing, air stones, salt, stress coat		\$	
Dip nets; stainless steel spheres, cable, hardware		\$	
	Su	btotal \$	1,561

4. Vehicles (GSA-leased, FWS-owned: gas/tires/maintenance)

Fish Collections		\$ 750
	Subtotal	\$ 750

Task 1a \$ 12,352

Task 2

1. Labor (salary and benefits)

Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS-12 @ 1,846)	2 weeks	\$ 3,692
	Subtotal	\$ 3,692

Task 2 Subtotal \$ 3,692

\$ 1,175

Subtotal \$ 1,764

	FY2006	Tasks 1 & 2	Total	\$ 83,666
NEW	FY2006	Task 1a	Total	\$ 12,352
	FY2006 AI	LL Tasks 1, 1a,	2 Total	\$ 96,018

FY-2007 Work (fourth year of multi-year study)

<u>Deliverables/Due Dates</u>: Annual Report due 11/2007

Draft Final Report to coordinator: 3/15/2007 Draft Report to peer reviewers/BC: 4/15/2007 Revised Report for BC consideration: 7/01/2007

Budget (3% inflation rate applied from FY-2006 for other than salaries)

1. Labor (salary and benefits)

(RP meetings/workshops)

Project Leader (1-GS-14 @ 2,180)	2 weeks	\$ 4,360
Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS-12 @ 1,965)	14 weeks	\$ 27,510
Seasonal Technicians		
GS-5/6 @ 702 (3)	12 weeks	\$ 25,272
Administrative Asst. (1-GS-9 @ 1,365)	3 weeks	\$ 4,095
	Subtotal	\$ 61,237
2. Travel (per diem only)(field)	1 week	\$ 589

3.	Equipment	
	Gasoline, 2-cycle outboard oil for outboards; props, jet-pump	
	impellers, liners, parts	\$ 1,782
	Maintenance (Boat Motors, Generators, GPPs,	
	aluminum boat repair)	\$ 3,512

Colorado River Smallmouth Bass-Page 13

Office (paper, telephones, posture/support	rt, misc.)	. •		\$ 1,337
Dip nets; stainless steel sphe	eres, cable, hardy	ware	Subtotal	\$ 840 \$ 7,471
			Subtotal	\$ 7,471
4. Vehicles (GSA-leased, FWS-ov	vned: gas/tires/m	aintenance))	Φ 5.570
Fish Collections			Subtotal	\$ 5,572 \$ 5,572
			Subtotal	ψ <i>3,312</i>
		Task 1	Subtotal	\$ 76,044
Task 2				
1. Labor (salary and benefits)				
Project Fishery Biologist (1-	-GS-12 @ 1,965) 2 weel		\$ 3,930
			Subtotal	\$ 3,930
		Task 2 Sul	ototal	\$ 3,930
	FY2007	Tasks 1 &	2 Total	\$ 79,974
Task 3. Analyze data: prepare draf	t and final synthe	esis report.		
Task 3. Analyze data; prepare draf	t and final synthe	esis report.		
1. Labor (salary and benefits)	t and final syntho	esis report.		
	·	esis report. 5 weeks		\$ 9,825
1. Labor (salary and benefits)	-12 @ 1,965)	-		\$ 1,365
 Labor (salary and benefits) Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS 	-12 @ 1,965)	5 weeks	Subtotal	*
 Labor (salary and benefits) Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS Administrative Asst. (1-GS-9 @ Travel (per diem only) 	-12 @ 1,965)	5 weeks	Subtotal	\$ 1,365
1. Labor (salary and benefits) Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS Administrative Asst. (1-GS-9 @	-12 @ 1,965)	5 weeks		\$ 1,365 \$ 11,190 \$ 1,195
 Labor (salary and benefits) Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS Administrative Asst. (1-GS-9 @ Travel (per diem only) 	-12 @ 1,965)	5 weeks		\$ 1,365 \$ 11,190
 Labor (salary and benefits) Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS Administrative Asst. (1-GS-9 @ (RIP meetings/workshops) 3. Equipment 	-12 @ 1,965) () 1,365)	5 weeks 1 week		\$ 1,365 \$ 11,190 \$ 1,195
 Labor (salary and benefits) Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS Administrative Asst. (1-GS-9 @ (Asst.)) Travel (per diem only) (RIP meetings/workshops) Equipment Office (paper, telephones, p. 	-12 @ 1,965) () 1,365) ostage, office suj	5 weeks 1 week		\$ 1,365 \$ 11,190 \$ 1,195 \$ 1,195
 Labor (salary and benefits) Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS Administrative Asst. (1-GS-9 @ (RIP meetings/workshops) 3. Equipment 	-12 @ 1,965) () 1,365) ostage, office suj	5 weeks 1 week		\$ 1,365 \$ 11,190 \$ 1,195
 Labor (salary and benefits) Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS Administrative Asst. (1-GS-9 @ Administr	-12 @ 1,965) (2) 1,365) ostage, office supt, misc.)	5 weeks 1 week	Subtotal	\$ 1,365 \$ 11,190 \$ 1,195 \$ 1,195 \$ 1,195 \$ 1,195
 Labor (salary and benefits) Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS Administrative Asst. (1-GS-9 @ (Asst.)) Travel (per diem only) (RIP meetings/workshops) Equipment Office (paper, telephones, p. 	-12 @ 1,965) (2) 1,365) ostage, office supt, misc.)	5 weeks 1 week	Subtotal Subtotal	\$ 1,365 \$ 11,190 \$ 1,195 \$ 1,195 \$ 1,195 \$ 1,195 \$ 1,545
 Labor (salary and benefits) Project Fishery Biologist (1-GS Administrative Asst. (1-GS-9 @ Administr	-12 @ 1,965) (2) 1,365) ostage, office supt, misc.)	5 weeks 1 week	Subtotal	\$ 1,365 \$ 11,190 \$ 1,195 \$ 1,195 \$ 1,195 \$ 1,195

IX. Budget Summary

FY-2006 \$ **96,018** FY-2007 \$ 95,099

Grand

Total: \$191,117

X. Reviewers:

XI. References

- Anderson, R. 1997. An evaluation of fish community structure and habitat potential for Colorado squawfish and razorback sucker in the unoccupied reach (Palisade to Rifle) of the Colorado River, 1993–1995. Final Report, Colorado Division of Wildlife, Ft. Collins.
- Behnke, R. J. 1980. The impacts of habitat alterations on the endangered and threatened fishes of the Upper Colorado River Basin. Bulletin 503A. Cooperative Extension Service, Colorado State University, Ft. Collins. 34 pp.
- Burdick, B. D. 1995. Ichthyofaunal studies of the Gunnison River, Colorado, 1992–1994. Final Report. Recovery Implementation Program for the Endangered Fishes of the Upper Colorado River Basin. U.S. Fish and Wildlife, Denver, Colorado. 60 pp. plus appendices.
- Burdick, B. D. 1999. Evaluation of fish passage at the Grand Valley Irrigation Company Diversion Dam on the Colorado River near Palisade, Colorado. Final Report prepared for the Recovery Implementation Program for Endangered Fishes in the Upper Colorado River Basin. Recovery Program Project Number CAP-17 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Colorado River Fishery Project, Grand Junction, CO. 13 pp + appendices.
- Burdick, B. D. 2001. Five-year evaluation of fish passage at the Redlands Diversion
 Dam on the Gunnison River near Grand Junction, Colorado: 1996–2000. Recovery
 Program Project Number CAP-4b. Final Report prepared for the Recovery
 Implementation Program for Endangered Fishes in the Upper Colorado River Basin.
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Colorado River Fishery Project, Grand Junction,
 CO. 57 pp. + appendices.

- Burdick, B. D. 2002. Monitoring and evaluating various sizes of domestic-reared razorback sucker stocked in the Upper Colorado and Gunnison rivers: 1995–2001. Draft report prepared for the Recovery Implementation Program for Endangered Fishes in the Upper Colorado River Basin. Recovery Program Project Number 50. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Colorado River Fishery Project, Grand Junction, CO. 47 pp + appendices.
- Burdick, B. D. 2003(a). Development of a channel catfish removal and control program in the Upper Colorado River of western Colorado. Annual Project Report to the Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program. Project Number 126. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Grand Junction, Colorado.
- Burdick, B. D. 2003(b). Annual operation and maintenance of the fish passage structure at the Redlands Diversion Dam on the Gunnison River. Annual Project Report to the Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program. Project Number C-4b. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Grand Junction, Colorado.
- Burdick, B. D. 2004(a). Evaluation of the effectiveness of the fish passage structure at the Redlands Dam. Annual report prepared for the Recovery Implementation Program for the Endangered Fishes of the Upper Colorado River Basin. Recovery Program Project Number C-4b. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Colorado River Fishery Project, Grand Junction, Colorado.
- Burdick, B. D. 2004(b). Removal of smallmouth bass in the Upper Colorado River between Price-Stubb Dam near Palisade, Colorado, and Westwater, Utah. Annual Project Report to the Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program. Project Number 126. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Grand Junction, Colorado.
- Burdick, B. D. 2005(a). Annual operation and maintenance of the fish passage structures at the Redlands Diversion Dam on the Gunnison River and the Government Highline Diversion Dam on the Upper Colorado River. Annual report prepared for the Recovery Implementation Program for the Endangered Fishes of the Upper Colorado River Basin. Recovery Program Project Number C-4b. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Colorado River Fishery Project, Grand Junction, Colorado.
- Burdick, B. D. 2005(b). Removal of smallmouth bass in the Upper Colorado River between Price-Stubb Dam near Palisade, Colorado, and Westwater, Utah. Annual Project Report to the Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program. Project Number 126. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Grand Junction, Colorado.

- Carlson, C. A., and R. T. Muth. 1989. Lifeline of the American Southwest. Pages 220–239 *in* D. P. Dodge (editor). Proceedings of the International Large Rivers Symposium. Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 106.
- Hawkins, J. A., and T. P. Nesler. 1991. Nonnative fishes in the upper Colorado River basin: an issue paper. Colorado State University, Ft. Collins. 72 pp.
- Johnson, J. E., M. G. Pardew, and M. M. Lyttle. 1993. Predation recognition and avoidance by larval razorback sucker and northern hog sucker. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 122:1139–1145.
- Joseph, T. W., J. A. Sinning, R. J. Behnke, and P. B. Holden. 1977. An evaluation of the status, life history, and habitat requirements of endangered and threatened fishes of the Upper Colorado River Basin. Report FWS/OBS-772, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ft. Collins, CO.
- Karp, C. A., and H. M Tyus. 1990. Behavior and interspecific interactions of Colorado pikeminnow [squawfish] *Ptychocheilus lucius*, and five other fish species. Copeia 1990:25–34.
- Lentsch, L. D., R. Muth, P. D. Thompson, T. A. Crowl, and B. G. Hoskins. 1996. Options for selectively controlling non-indigenous fish in the Upper Colorado River Basin. Final Report. Publication 96–14, Utah Department of Natural Resources, Division of Wildlife Resources, Salt Lake City.
- Maddux, H. R., L. A. Fitzpatrick, and W. R. Noonan. 1993. Colorado River endangered fishes and critical habitat: Biological Support Document. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Salt Lake City, UT. 225 pp.
- Minckley, W. L. 1982. Trophic interrelations among introduced fishes in the lower Colorado River, Southwestern United States. California Fish and Game 68(2):78–89.
- Minckley, W. L., and J. E. Deacon. 1991. Battle against extinction: native fish management in the American West. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ. 517 pp.
- Minckley, W. L., P. C. Marsh, J. E. Brooks, J. E. Johnson, and B. L. Jensen. 1991. Management toward recovery of the razorback sucker. Pages 303–358 *in* W.L. Minckley and J.E. Deacon eds. Battle against extinction. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.

- Nelson, P., C. McAda, and D. Wydoski. 1995. The potential for nonnative fishes to occupy and/or benefit from enhanced or restored floodplain habitat and adversely impact the razorback sucker: an issue paper. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Denver, Colorado.
- Nesler, T. P. 2002. Interaction between endangered fishes and introduced gamefishes in the Colorado River, 1987–1991. Final Report prepared for the Recovery Implementation Program for Endangered Fishes in the Upper Colorado River Basin. Recovery Program Project Number 91–29. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Ft. Collins. 53 pp.
- Osmundson, D. B., and L. R. Kaeding. 1989. Studies of Colorado pikeminnow [squawfish] and razorback sucker use of the "15-Mile Reach" of the upper Colorado River as part of conservation measures for the Green Mountain and Ruedi reservoir water sales. Final Report. Colorado River Fishery Project, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Grand Junction, CO. 85 pp.
- Papoulias, D. and W. L. Minckley. 1990. Food limited survival of larval razorback sucker, *Xyrauchen texanus*, in the laboratory. Environmental Biology of Fishes 29: 73–78.
- Quarterone, F. 1993. Historical accounts of Upper Colorado River basin endangered fish. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Denver, 66 pages.
- Ruppert, J. B., R. T. Muth, and T. P. Nesler. 1993. Predation on fish larvae by adult red shiner, Yampa and Green rivers, Colorado. The Southwestern Naturalist 38:397–399.
- Tyus, H. M, B. D. Burdick, R. A. Valdez, C. M. Haynes, T. A. Lytle, and C. R. Berry. 1982. Fishes of the Upper Colorado River Basin: distribution, abundance, and status. Pages 12–70, *in* W.H. Miller, H.M. Tyus, and C.A. Carlson (eds). Fishes of the Upper Colorado River System: Present and Future. Fishes of the Upper Colorado River Basin: distribution, abundance, and status. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD.
- Tyus, H. M. and J. F. Saunders, III. 1996. Nonnative fishes in natural ecosystems and a strategic plan for control of nonnatives in the Upper Colorado River Basin. Draft Report. Center for Limnology, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado. For the Recovery Implementation Program for Endangered fish Species in the Upper Colorado River Basin. Cooperative Agreement No. 14–48–0006–923, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Denver, Colorado.

- Valdez, R. A., P. G. Mangan, R. P. Smith, and B. Nilson. 1982. Upper Colorado River investigations. Pages 101–279 in W. H. Miller et al., editors. Colorado River Fishery Project., Final Report; Part Two, Field Studies. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Bureau of Reclamation. Salt Lake City, UT.
- Wiltzius, W. J. 1978. Some factors historically affecting the distribution and abundance of fishes in the Gunnison River. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Ft. Collins. 215 pp.
- Wydoski, R. S. 1994. Species composition of the fish community above and below the Price-Stubb Diversion Dam on the Colorado River. Letter addressed to the Biology Committee for the Recovery Program for the Endangered Fishes of the Upper Colorado River, Denver, CO, 30 August 1994. 12 pp.

Prepared by Bob D. Burdick, 5 December 2003 revised 23 January 2004, CWM. revised/updated, 21 April 2005, BDB. BOB\SOW\2006sow\smbass0607.wpd revised 17 June 2005: CWM

BOB|SOW|2006sow\smbass0607MOD.wpd revised 3 January 2006: BDB